

Journal of Power Sources 68 (1997) 549-552



The effects of the stoichiometry and synthesis temperature on the preparation of the inverse spinel $LiNiVO_4$ and its performance as a new high voltage cathode material

G.T.K. Fey^{a,*}, J.R. Dahn^b, M.J. Zhang^b, W. Li^b

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan 320, ROC ^b Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, V5A 186, Canada

Accepted 17 October 1996

Abstract

The high temperature solid-state reaction between LiNiO₂ and V₂O₃ (or V₂O₅) in air used to prepare LiNiVO₄ has been further studied. This quarternary Li–Ni–V–O reaction is strongly dependent on reaction temperature and lithium stoichiometry in Li_xNi_{2-x}O₂ and has produced a highly crystalline LiNiVO₄ material whose structure has been confirmed to be an inverse spinel by Rietveld analysis with a Bragg *R*-factor of 1.18 in the absence of crystal orientation preference. The cell performance of LiNiVO₄ prepared at various temperatures or by varying *x*-values in Li_xNi_{2-x}O₂ has been examined in lithium coin cells and indicated that preparation at low temperatures or when x = 0.89 provided an electrode material with higher cell capacity. © 1997 Elsevier Science S.A.

Keywords: Cathodes; Spinel compounds; Lithium; Vanadium; Nickel

1. Introduction

In 1993, we discovered that LiNiVO₄, at 4.8 V, exhibits the highest observed cell voltage for any intercalation or insertion reaction and has an inverse spinel structure contrary to common belief that it is spinel [1]. Both LiNiVO₄ and its isostructural compound LiCoVO₄ can be high voltage cathode materials for lithium secondary cells.

The striking difference in cell voltage between LiNiVO₄ (4.8 V) and its analogue LiCoVO₄ (4.2 V) implies that nickel atoms in the inverse spinel structure may play a critical role. A new preparation method for LiNiVO₄ was developed by reacting an LiNiO₂ precursor and V₂O₃ or V₂O₅ in air at 700 °C for 2 h [2]. Since lithium–nickel oxides are usually prepared as nonstoichiometric Li_xNi_{2-x}O₂ (0.6 < x < 1) [3], hypothetically they could be the starting materials for the synthesis of nonstoichiometric Li_xNi_{2-x}VO₄ compounds. If they can be synthesized, these compounds may reveal the role that nickel atoms play in cell voltage behavior.

It has been shown that both $LiMgVO_4$ and $LiZnVO_4$ can be converted from olivine or phenacite to spinel by highpressure modification [4,5]. In addition, spinel compounds can be disordered through cation mixing and converted to inverse spinel [6]. Therefore, our preparation method may have had a similar effect by producing an LiNiVO₄ compound with an inverse spinel structure instead of the commonly accepted spinel structure.

Our goals were to determine if various nonstoichiometric $LiNiVO_4$ compounds could be prepared by reacting Li_1Ni_2 , O_2 with V_2O_3 and to study how $LiNiVO_4$ preparation is affected by synthesis conditions and lithium stoichiometry in Li_1Ni_2 , O_2 . The electrochemical behavior of the inverse spinel $LiNiVO_4$ produced with Li_1Ni_2 , O_2 was examined in coin-type test cells.

2. Experimental

Li₁Ni_{2-x}O₂ (0.60 < x < 1.05) materials were prepared by reacting stoichiometric ratios of LiOH · H₂O and Ni(OH)₂ at 700 °C for 2 h in air [7]. LiNiVO₄ samples were obtained by reacting stoichiometric mixtures of Li_xNi₂₋,O₂ and V₂O₃ in air, first at 500 °C for 4 h and then at 800 °C for 6 h. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were made with a Siemens D5000 diffractometer equipped with a diffracted beam monochromator and Cu K α radiation.

Coin-type test cells were constructed as described previously [1]. The electrolyte used was 1 M $LiBF_4$ dissolved in

^{*} Corresponding author.

^{0378-7753/97/\$17.00 © 1997} Elsevier Science S A All rights reserved *PII* S 0 3 7 8 - 77 5 3 (96) 0 2 6 3 2 - 8

a mixture of 66% dimethyl carbonate (DMC). 17% ethylene carbonate (EC) and 17% propylene carbonate (PC) by volume.

3. Results and discussion

A close similarity was observed between the XRD patterns for the products formed from the reactions of $\text{Li}_x \text{Ni}_{2-x} O_2$ (x=0.25-1.03) with V_2O_3 . Because parts of these patterns are very similar to that of LiNiVO₄ there is no evidence that nonstoichiometric Li_xNi_{2-x}VO₄ was ever formed. When lithium or nickel was stoichiometrically deficient, a complicated mixture of Li₃VO₄, NiV₂O₇, and Ni₃(VO₄)₂ was formed in addition to the main product (cf. Fig. 1(a)). When lithium or nickel was stoichiometrically excessive, impurities such as Li₃VO₄ and NiO were formed (cf. Fig. 1(c)). Typical examples of the above XRD patterns of LiNiVO₄ prepared under different stoichiometrical conditions are illustrated in Fig. 1.

After studying synthesis temperatures from 600 to 975 °C using the solid-state method, we determined that 800 °C was the optimal preparation temperature for pure LiNiVO₄ because LiVO₃ impurities were formed between 600 to 700 °C and NiO impurities were formed at >900 °C. The effect of synthesis temperature on the purity of LiNiVO₄ is clearly exhibited in Fig. 2. The presence of impurities such as NiO or LiVO₃ in the different temperature ranges seems related to the electrical conductivity behavior of LiNiVO₄. Ito et al. [8] measured the electrical conductivity of LiNiVO₄ from 450 to 1000 °C in air. Above 800 °C, the activation energy

was about 2.8 eV while below 800 °C, the activation energy was about 0.99 eV. At 800 °C, LiNiVO₄ existed in a single phase which may explain why that was also the optimal preparation temperature for pure LiNiVO₄.

Several XRD data of pure LiNiVO₄ were selected for Rietveld profile refinement analysis. The data and calculations were a reasonable but not a perfect fit to an inverse spinel structure because the Bragg *R*-factor (see Refs. [9–11]) could not be reduced below the 9% range, similar to what was found in our previous work [1].

In order to improve the Rietveld analysis results, we looked into the effect of crystal orientations on XRD profiles since LiNiVO₄ is a highly crystalline material. Fig. 3 displays the effect of grinding on the region of diffraction angles (2θ) from 10 to 70° in the XRD patterns of LiNiVO₄. Fig. 3(a) shows the XRD patterns for the same sample of pure LiNiVO₄ which was reloaded three consecutive times. The Bragg peaks near 55°, 58°, and 64° diffraction angles appeared as doublets which were very sensitive to the changes in crystal orientations each time. The LiNiVO₄ sample was mechanically ground thoroughly for 1 h. Fig. 3(b) shows the XRD patterns for the ground sample which was reloaded three consecutive times. Surprisingly, the Bragg peaks near 55°, 58° and 64° appeared as singlets and remained constant in intensity. The XRD data in Fig. 3(b) was used to rerun a Rietveld profile refinement analysis and achieved better results as shown in Fig. 4. The dotted line denotes LiNiVO₄ data points while the solid line represents a calculated pattern assuming an inverse spinel structure. The lower panel in Fig. 4 shows the



Fig. 1. XRD patterns of LiNiVO₄ prepared with different x values in $L_{1,Ni_{2-x}O_2}$: (a) x = 0.25, (b) x = 0.75, and (c) x = 1.03.



Fig 2 XRD patterns of LiNiVO₄ prepared at different temperatures[•] (a) 600 °C, (b) 650 °C, (c) 700 °C; (d) 750 °C; (e) 800 °C; (f) 850 °C, (g) 875 °C; (h) 900 °C; (i) 950 °C, and (j) 975 °C.



Fig. 3. The effect of grinding on the XRD patterns of LiN_1VO_4 (a) before grinding, and (b) after grinding

difference between the measured and calculated pattern where the largest difference is less than 2%. The Bragg R-factor was 1.18 and confirmed that the structure of LiNiVO₄ was indeed inverse spinel.

Selected cell performance data of Li/LiNiVO₄ cells are listed in Table 1. Cell capacity was the highest when x = 0.89and 0.96 in the Li_xNi_{2-x}O₂ cathode starting material. The reason for the decrease in first charge capacity with an increase in x value is not fully understood. In the first cycle, the irreversible capacity loss in these cells was large and their remaining capacity was small. The highest capacity during charge and discharge was 50.4 and 16.3 mAh/g, respectively, so their preliminary cell performance did not measure up to that of the Li/LiNiVO₄ cell we tested in a previous study which had a capacity during charge and discharge of 80 and 45 mAh/g [1]. The method used for preparing the LiNiVO₄ precursor was the primary difference between these two studies. In this work, we used a high-temperature solid-state method without a precursor versus a low-temperature copre-

Table 1	
Selected capacity data of Li/LiNiVO4 cells	



Fig. 4. Rietveld profile refinement analysis for the powder XRD pattern of L_1NiVO_4



Fig. 5 Effect of the synthesis temperature on cell capacity and cycle behavior.

cipitation method with a precursor used in our previous work [1].

Cells with cathode materials prepared at 600 °C had a charge capacity of 48.1 mAh/g while those with cathode materials prepared at 975 °C only had a charge capacity of 32.0 mAh/g (Fig. 5). Higher preparation temperatures resulted in diminished cell capacity, probably because lithium

L1N1VO ₄ prepared from reacting L1,N1 ₂ , O ₂ and V ₂ O ₃	Capacity (mAh/g)						
	x = 0.89		x = 0.96		x=1.03		
	Charge	Discharge	Charge	Discharge	Charge	Discharge	
1st cycle	50.4	16 3	46.0	18 7	32 0	14.8	
2nd cycle	24.6	8 0	18.1	12 4	14 8	10.1	

reacted with the preparation vessel substrate resulting in lithium mass loss, and mobile lithium atoms could not move freely through partially fused tunnels.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the supports of this research by Moli Energy (1990) Ltd., Canada and the National Science Council, Republic of China under Grant No. NSC85-2214-E-008-008.

References

[1] G.T.K. Fey, W. Li and J.R. Dahn, J. Electrochem Soc. 141 (1994) 2279.

- [2] G.T.K. Fey and W.B. Perng, Mater. Chem. Phys., 47 (1997) 279
- [3] J.R. Dahn, U. von Sacken and C.A. Michael, Solid State Ionics, 44 (1990) 87.
- [4] G. Blasse, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 25 (1963) 136.
- [5] G. Blasse, Philips Res Rep. Suppl., (3) (1964).
- [6] J.M. Tarascon, W.R. Mckinnon, F. Coowar, T.N. Bowmer, G. Amatucci and D. Guyomard, J. Electrochem. Soc., 141 (1994) 1421.
- [7] W. Li, J.N. Reimers and J.R. Dahn, Phys. Rev. B., 46 (1992) 3236.
- [8] Y. Ito, T. Maruyama, T. Nakamura and Y. Saito, Rep. The Research Laboratory of Engineering Materials, Tokyo Institute of Technology, (11) (1986) 11
- [9] H.M. Rietveld, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2 (1969) 65.
- [10] D.B. Wiles and R.A. Young, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 14 (1981) 149.
- [11] R.J. Hill and C.J. Howard, J Appl Crystallogr., 18 (1985) 173.